The Promote[®] surface – a state of the art titanium surface for implant dentistry

Fact sheet

Commercially pure titanium (or CPTi) is the material of choice for endosseous dental implants. It is recognized as an excellent implant material with high biocompatibility and has been the prime material for clinical use in implant dentistry for more than 40 years. Titanium offers a high mechanical strength combined with excellent corrosion resistance.

The discovery of the osseointegrative properties of titanium – defined as direct attachment of living bone to the implant surface – by Brånemark [1] was a landmark in dental implantology. Since then, the implant surface (topography) has been continuously refined for optimal osseointegration. The Promote[®] surface, a sand-blasted and acid etched surface, has been the surface of choice for the Camlog implants for more than 20 years.

Pure Titanium – a standard with ideal properties for dental implantology

CAMLOG[®], CONELOG[®], and iSy[®] implants are manufactured from pure titanium. According to standard [2], pure titanium for implant applications contains at least 99% titanium and small, precisely limited amounts of the accompanying elements oxygen, iron, carbon and nitrogen. The high purity is achieved by special manufacturing processes including multiple remelting and is important for the corrosion resistance and biocompatibility of the implants.

Thanks to a dense, inert oxide layer (TiO_2) – also called passive layer – pure titanium resists the aggressive conditions in the human body far better than implant steel or other metal alloys. This could be proven in numerous studies [3, 4].

If the passive layer is damaged by scratching or injured by abrasion, the defect "heals" spontaneously by repassivation [3, 5]. In principle, the passive layer corresponds to a thin ceramic coating of TiO₂. Thanks to this dense protective oxide layer, titanium is called bioinert and has unique properties in terms of biocompatibility [6]. Titanium is one of few metals on whose surface the growth and proliferation of osteoblast and fibroblast cells are not inhibited [7]. For this reason, titanium is particularly suitable to serve as the base material for endosseous implants, allowing for bone and soft tissue integration.

The Promote Surface – Study results

The Promote[®] surface favors rapid and stable osseointegration. This has been documented over the years by a large number of clinical trials in several indications and loading modalities providing a comprehensive documentation including outstanding clinical long-term results [8].

Long-term results on the performance of the Promote surface showed excellent survival and success rates [9], this was also reported independently of the time of implantation or the time of loading. More recently, excellent results of bone maintenance with implants using a platform switching restoration were reported in well-defined clinical studies [10,11] as well as in private practice [12,13] or with short implants [14, 15]. These results were in accordance with the publication of Knöfler et al. [16] who investigated the possible influencing factors on the survival rate of 10'000 implants over 20 years of different systems in private practices. The author reported the highest probability of survival for implants with a Promote surface [16].

Recently, increasing attention is paid to the cleanliness of the implant surface after the manufacturing process. Comparing the Promote surface with other sand blasted acid etched surfaces, the paper [17] reported that the Promote surface belong to the SLA surfaces showing the least number of alumina particles after etching.



SEM image of the PROMOTE® surface.



Bone maintenance of a CAMLOG® PROGRESSIVE-LINE implant, restored with a Titanium base PS. Courtesy of Dr. Frederic Hermann MSc.



CONELOG® SYSTEM

Conclusion

Pure titanium combines in an ideal way an excellent corrosion resistance and biocompatibility with a high mechanical strength and ductility. Camlog implants with the Promote surface show excellent and reliable clinical results in different indications and if compared to other implant systems and surfaces – in clinical trials as well as in daily dental practice [8].

Take home messages:

- High biocompatibility
- Mechanical strength and ductility
- Excellent and reliable clinical results



Histology of a an integrated CONELOG® SCREW-LINE implant. Courtesy of Prof. Dr. Frank Schwarz.

References

- Brånemark PI, Hansson BO, Adell R, Breine U, Lindström J, Hallén O, Ohman A. Osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. Experience form a 10-year period. Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Suppl. 1977;16:1-132.
- [2] ISO 5832-2:2018 Implants for surgery Metallic materials Part 2: Unalloyed titanium.
- [3] Fraker AC, Ruff AW, Sung P, Van Orden AC, Speck KM. Surface preparation and corrosion behavior of titanium alloys for surgical implants; in Titanium alloys in surgical implants. ASTM STP 796. Luckey HA, Kubli Jr. F. Eds. American Society for Testing and Materi als. 1983; 206-19.
- [4] Solar RJ. Corrosion resistance of titanium surgical implant alloys: A review; in Corrosion and degradation of implant materials, ASTM STP 684, Syrett BC, Acharya A. Eds. American Society for Testing and Materials. 1979;259-73.
- [5] Pohler OEM. Unalloyed titanium for implants in bone surgery. Injury. 2000; 31(Suppl 4):7-13.
- [6] Kennedy JF, Barker SA, Humphreys JD. Insoluble complexes of amino-acids, peptides, and enzymes with metal hydroxides. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1. 1976;9:962-7.
- [7] Steinemann SG. Titanium the material of choice? Periodontology 2000. 1998;17:7-21.
- [8] CONELOG® IMPLANT SYSTEM- Facts and figures at a glance. M-0156-WPR-EN-INT-BHCL-01-122020.
- [9] Camlog and Science. XJ6164.11/2013.
- [10] Messias A, Rocha S, Wagner W, Wiltfang J, Moergel M, Behrens E, Nicolau P, Guerra F. Peri-implant marginal bone loss reduction with platform-switching components: 5-year post-loading results of an equivalence randomized clinical trial. J Clin Periodontol. 2019;46:678-87.
- [11] Moergel M, Nicolau P, Rocha S, Messias A, Guerra F, Wagner W. Clinical outcomes with Conelog screw-line implants in the posterior mandible – final 5-year results of a prospective two-center study. Int Poster J Dent Oral Med. 2018; 20 (ORGS) Poster 1213.
- [12] Ackermann K-L, Barth T, Cacaci C, Kistler S, Schlee M, Stiller M. Clinical and patient-reported outcome of implant restorations with internal conical connection in daily dental practices: prospective observational multicenter trial with up to 7-year follow-up. Int J Implant Dent. 2020;6(1):14.
- [13] Beschnidt SM, Cacaci C, Dedeoglu K, Hildebrand D, Hulla H, Iglhaut G, et al. Implant success and survival rates in daily dental practice: 5-year results of a non-interventional study using CAMLOG SCREW-LINE implants with or without platform-switching abutments. Int J Implant Dent. 2018;4(1):33.
- [14] Lorenz J, Blume M, Korzinskas T, Ghanaati S, Sader RA. Short implants in the posterior maxilla to avoid sinus augmentation procedure: 5-year results from a retrospective cohort study. Int J Implant Dent. 2019;5(1):3.
- [15] Al-Sawaf O, Tuna T, Rittich A, Kern T, Wolfart S. Randomized clinical trial evaluating the effect of splinting crowns on short implants in the mandible 3 years after loading. Clin Oral Implant Res. 2020;00:1-11.
- [16] Knöfler W, Barth T, Graul R, Krampe D, Schmenger K. Beobachtung an 10.000 Implantaten über 20 Jahre Eine retrospektive Studie. Implantologie. 2017;25(4):413-21.
- [17] Schupbach P, Glauser R, Bauer S. Al2O3 particles on titanium dental implant systems following sandblasting and acid-etching process. Int J Biomater. 2019;2:6318429.

Headquarters

CAMLOG Biotechnologies GmbH | Margarethenstr. 38 | 4053 Basel | Switzerland Phone +41 61 565 41 00 | Fax +41 61 565 41 01 | info@camlog.com | www.camlog.com

The CAMLOG®, CONELOG®, iSy®, and Promote® trademarks are not registered in all markets. Manufacturer of Camlog products: ALTATEC GmbH | Maybachstr. 5 | 71299 Wimsheim | Germany

